



Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report

Yancoal Mount Thorley Warkworth

April 2023

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	4
2.0	AIR QUALITY.....	4
2.1	Meteorological Monitoring.....	4
2.1.1	Rainfall.....	4
2.1.2	Wind Speed and Direction.....	4
2.2	Depositional Dust.....	6
2.3	Suspended Particulates.....	6
2.3.1	HVAS PM ₁₀ Results.....	6
2.3.2	TSP Results.....	7
2.3.3	Real Time PM ₁₀ Results.....	7
2.3.4	Real Time Alarms for Air Quality.....	7
3.0	WATER QUALITY.....	8
3.1	Surface Water.....	8
3.2	HRSTS Discharge.....	8
3.3	Groundwater Monitoring.....	9
4.0	BLAST MONITORING.....	9
4.1	Blast Monitoring Results.....	9
5.0	NOISE.....	12
5.1	Attended Noise Monitoring Results.....	12
5.1.1	WML Noise Assessment.....	12
5.1.2	MTO Noise Assessment.....	13
5.1.3	NPfl Low Frequency Assessment.....	14
5.2	Noise Management Measures.....	17
6.0	OPERATIONAL DOWNTIME.....	17
7.0	REHABILITATION.....	18
8.0	ENVIRONMENTAL INCIDENTS.....	18
9.0	COMPLAINTS.....	18
	Appendix A: Meteorological Data.....	20

Figures

Figure 1: Rainfall Trend YTD	4
Figure 2: Charlton Ridge Wind Rose – April 2023	4
Figure 3: Air Quality Monitoring Locations	5
Figure 4: Depositional Dust – April 2023	6
Figure 5: Individual PM10 Results – April 2023	6
Figure 6: Annual Average PM10 – April 2023	7
Figure 7: Annual Average Total Suspended Particulates – April 2023	7
Figure 8: Real Time PM10 daily 24hr average (line graphs) and YTD annual average (column graphs) – April 2023	8
Figure 9: Abbey Green Blast Monitoring Results – April 2023	9
Figure 10: Bulga Village Blast Monitoring Results – April 2023	9
Figure 11: MTIE Blast Monitoring Results –April 2023	10
Figure 14: Warkworth Blast Monitoring Results - April 2023	10
Figure 12: Wollemi Peak Road Blast Monitoring Results –April 2023	10
Figure 13: Wambo Road Blast Monitoring Results – April	10
Figure 15: MTW Blast Monitoring Location Plan	11
Figure 16: Noise Monitoring Location Plan	16
Figure 17: Operational Downtime by Equipment Type – April 2023	17
Figure 18: Rehabilitation YTD – April 2023	18

Tables

Table 1: Monthly Rainfall MTW	4
Table 2: Blasting Limits	9
Table 3: $L_{Aeq, 15 \text{ minute}}$ Warkworth Impact Assessment Criteria – April 2023	12
Table 4: $L_{A1, 1 \text{ minute}}$ Warkworth - Impact Assessment Criteria – April 2023	12
Table 5: $L_{Aeq, 15\text{minute}}$ Mount Thorley - Impact Assessment Criteria – April 2023	13
Table 6: $L_{A1, 1\text{Minute}}$ Mount Thorley - Impact Assessment Criteria – April 2023	13
Table 7: Warkworth Low Frequency Noise Assessment – April 2023	14
Table 8: Mount Thorley Operations Low Frequency Noise Assessment – April 2023	15
Table 9: Supplementary Attended Noise Monitoring Data – April 2023	17
Table 10: Complaints Summary YTD	19
Table 11: Meteorological Data – Charlton Ridge Meteorological Station – April 2023	21

Revision History

Version No.	Version Details	Date
1.0	Final	14/08/2023

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report has been compiled to provide a monthly summary of environmental monitoring results for Mount Thorley Warkworth (MTW). This report includes all monitoring data collected for the period 1 April to 30 April 2023.

2.0 AIR QUALITY

2.1 Meteorological Monitoring

Meteorological data is collected at MTW's 'Charlton Ridge' meteorological station (refer to **Figure 3: Air Quality Monitoring Locations**).

2.1.1 Rainfall

Rainfall for the reporting period is summarised in **Table 1**. The year-to-date monthly rainfall totals, 2023 monthly rainfall totals and historical average monthly rainfall trend are shown in **Figure 1**.

Table 1: Monthly Rainfall MTW

2023	Monthly Rainfall (mm)	Cumulative Rainfall (mm)
April	40.4	253.4




Figure 1: Rainfall Trend YTD

Note: The historical average monthly rainfall is calculated from 2007 to 2022 monthly totals.

2.1.2 Wind Speed and Direction

Winds from the South were dominant during the reporting period as shown in **Figure 2**.




Figure 2: Charlton Ridge Wind Rose – April 2023




Figure 3: Air Quality Monitoring Locations

2.2 Depositional Dust

To monitor air quality, MTW operates and maintains a network of seven depositional dust gauges, situated on private and mine owned land surrounding MTW.

During the reporting period the Warkworth monitor recorded a monthly result above the long-term impact assessment criteria of 4.0 g/m² per month. There is no evidence to suggest that the Warkworth result is contaminated. Accordingly, the result will be included in the annual average calculation.

Figure 4 displays insoluble solids results from depositional dust gauges during the reporting period compared against the year-to-date average and the annual impact assessment criteria.

An annual assessment of MTW’s compliance with the Long-Term Impact Assessment Criteria will be provided in the 2023 Annual Review Report.




Figure 4: Depositional Dust – April 2023

2.3 Suspended Particulates

Suspended particulates are measured by a network of High Volume Air Samplers (HVAS) measuring Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) and Particulate Matter <10µm (PM₁₀). The location of these monitors can be found in **Figure 3**. Each HVAS was run for 24 hours on a six-day cycle in accordance with EPA requirements.

2.3.1 HVAS PM₁₀ Results

Figure 5 shows the individual PM₁₀ results at each monitoring station against the short-term impact assessment criteria of 50µg/m³.




Figure 5: Individual PM₁₀ Results – April 2023

Figure 6 shows the annual average PM₁₀ result against the long term impact assessment criteria.

An assessment of MTW’s compliance with the Long-Term Impact Assessment Criteria will be provided in the 2023 Annual Review Report.




Figure 6: Annual Average PM₁₀ – April 2023

2.3.2 TSP Results

Figure 7 shows the annual average TSP results compared against the long-term impact assessment criteria of 90µg/m³.

An assessment of MTW’s compliance with the Long-Term Impact Assessment Criteria will be provided in the 2023 Annual Review Report.

Data was not available on 5 April from the Loders Creek monitor or on 11 and 17 April from the Warkworth Monitor due to equipment issues.




Figure 7: Annual Average Total Suspended Particulates – April 2023

2.3.3 Real Time PM₁₀ Results

MTW maintains a network of real time PM₁₀ monitors. The real time air quality monitoring stations continuously log information and transmit data to a central database, generating internal alerts when particulate matter levels exceed internal trigger limits.

Results for real time dust sampling are shown in Figure 8, including the daily 24-hour average PM₁₀ result and the annual PM₁₀ average.

Data was not available on 25 and 26 April from the Wambo Road monitor due to equipment issues.

2.3.4 Real Time Alarms for Air Quality

During April, the real time monitoring system generated 59 automated air quality related alerts, including 8 alerts for adverse meteorological conditions and 51 alerts for elevated PM₁₀ levels.




Figure 8: Real Time PM₁₀ daily 24hr average (line graphs) and YTD annual average (column graphs) – April 2023

3.0 WATER QUALITY

MTW maintains a network of surface water and groundwater monitoring sites.

3.1 Surface Water

Monitoring is conducted at mine site dams and surrounding natural watercourses.

Surface water courses are sampled on a monthly or quarterly sampling regime. Water quality is evaluated through the parameters of pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS). The Hunter River and the Wollombi Brook are sampled both upstream and downstream of mining operations, to record background water quality and to monitor the potential impact of mining on the river system. Other Hunter River tributaries are also monitored.

Results of monitoring are reported quarterly, next available in the June 2023 report.

3.2 HRSTS Discharge

MTW participates in the Hunter River Salinity Trading Scheme (HRSTS), allowing discharge from licensed discharge points located at Dam 1N and Dam 9S. Discharges can only take place subject to HRSTS regulations.

MTW did not undertake any HRSTS discharges in the reporting period.

3.3 Groundwater Monitoring

Groundwater monitoring is undertaken on a quarterly basis in accordance with the MTW Groundwater Monitoring Programme.

Groundwater results are reported quarterly, next available in the June 2023 report.

4.0 BLAST MONITORING

MTW have a network of six blast monitoring units. These are located at nearby privately owned residences and function as regulatory compliance monitors.

The location of these monitors can be found in **Figure 15**.

4.1 Blast Monitoring Results

During April 2023, 13 blasts were initiated at MTW. **Figure 9** to **Figure 14** show the blast monitoring results for the reporting period against the impact assessment criteria. The criteria are summarised in **Table 2**.

Table 2: Blasting Limits

Airblast Overpressure (dB(L))	Comments
115	5% of the total number of blasts in a 12 month period at WML or MTO
120	0%
Ground Vibration (mm/s)	Comments
5	5% of the total number of blasts in a 12 month period at WML or MTO
10	0%

During the reporting period no blast exceeded the 115dB(L) threshold for airblast overpressure or the 5mm/s criteria for ground vibration.




Figure 9: Abbey Green Blast Monitoring Results – April 2023




Figure 10: Bulga Village Blast Monitoring Results – April 2023




Figure 11: MTIE Blast Monitoring Results –April 2023




Figure 14: Wambo Road Blast Monitoring Results – April 2023




Figure 13: Wollemi Peak Road Blast Monitoring Results – April 2023




Figure 12: Warkworth Blast Monitoring Results - April 2023



Figure 15: MTW Blast Monitoring Location Plan

5.0 NOISE

Routine attended noise monitoring is carried out in accordance with the MTW Noise Management Plan. A review against EIS predictions will be reported in the Annual Review. The purpose of the noise surveys is to quantify and describe the acoustic environment around the site and compare results with specified limits. Real time noise monitoring also occurs at five sites surrounding MTW. Noise monitoring locations are displayed in **Figure 16**.

5.1 Attended Noise Monitoring Results

Attended monitoring was conducted at receiver locations surrounding MTW on the night of 17 April 2023. Measurements complied with the relevant criteria.

5.1.1 WML Noise Assessment

Compliance assessments undertaken against the WML noise criteria are presented in **Tables 3** and **4**.

Table 3: LAeq, 15 minute Warkworth Impact Assessment Criteria – April 2023

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s)	Stability Class	Criterion dB(A)	Criterion Applies? ¹	WML LAeq dB ^{2,3}	Exceedance ^{3,4}
Bulga RFS	17/04/2023 22:48	2.8	D	37	Yes	31	Nil
Bulga Village	17/04/2023 22:05	2.2	D	38	Yes	33	Nil
Gouldsville	17/04/2023 21:24	1.7	E	38	Yes	<25	Nil
Inlet Rd	17/04/2023 21:21	1.3	E	37	Yes	33	Nil
Inlet Rd West	17/04/2023 21:00	1.0	E	35	Yes	33	Nil
Long Point	17/04/2023 21:00	1.0	E	35	Yes	IA	Nil
South Bulga	17/04/2023 23:36	2.4	D	35	Yes	IA	Nil
Wambo Road	17/04/2023 21:44	1.9	E	38	Yes	34	Nil

Notes:

1. Noise criteria apply during all meteorological conditions except the following: during periods of rain or hail; average wind speed at microphone height exceeds 5 m/s; wind speeds greater than 3 m/s measured at 10 metres above ground level; stability category F temperature inversion conditions and wind speeds greater than 2m/s at 10m above ground level; or stability category G temperature inversion conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values;
2. Site-only LAeq,15minute attributed to WML, including modifying factors if applicable;
3. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of relevant criterion; and
4. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified in consent, therefore criterion was not applicable.

Table 4: LA1, 1 minute Warkworth - Impact Assessment Criteria – April 2023

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s)	Stability Class	Criterion dB(A)	Criterion Applies? ¹	WML LA1, 1min dB ^{2,3}	Exceedance ^{3,4}
Bulga RFS	17/04/2023 22:48	2.8	D	47	Yes	32	Nil
Bulga Village	17/04/2023 22:05	2.2	D	48	Yes	36	Nil
Gouldsville	17/04/2023 21:24	1.7	E	48	Yes	30	Nil
Inlet Rd	17/04/2023 21:21	1.3	E	47	Yes	36	Nil
Inlet Rd West	17/04/2023 21:00	1.0	E	45	Yes	37	Nil
Long Point	17/04/2023 21:00	1.0	E	45	Yes	IA	Nil
South Bulga	17/04/2023 23:36	2.4	D	45	Yes	IA	Nil
Wambo Road	17/04/2023 21:44	1.9	E	48	Yes	38	Nil

Notes:

1. Noise criteria apply during all meteorological conditions except the following: during periods of rain or hail; average wind speed at microphone height exceeds 5 m/s; wind speeds greater than 3 m/s measured at 10 metres above ground level; stability category F temperature inversion conditions and wind speeds greater than 2m/s at 10m above ground level; or stability category G temperature inversion conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values;
2. Site-only LA1,1minute attributed to WML;
3. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of relevant criterion; and
4. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified in consent, therefore criterion was not applicable.

5.1.2 MTO Noise Assessment

Compliance assessments undertaken against the MTO noise criteria are presented in **Table 5** and **6**.

Table 5: L_{Aeq,15minute} Mount Thorley - Impact Assessment Criteria – April 2023

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s)	Stability Class	Criterion dB	Criterion Applies? ¹	MTO L _{Aeq} dB ^{2,3}	Exceedance ^{3,4}
Bulga RFS	17/04/2023 22:48	2.8	D	37	Yes	31	Nil
Bulga Village	17/04/2023 22:05	2.2	D	38	Yes	33	Nil
Gouldsville	17/04/2023 21:24	1.7	E	35	Yes	IA	Nil
Inlet Rd	17/04/2023 21:21	1.3	E	37	Yes	33	Nil
Inlet Rd West	17/04/2023 21:00	1.0	E	35	Yes	33	Nil
Long Point	17/04/2023 21:00	1.0	E	35	Yes	IA	Nil
South Bulga	17/04/2023 23:36	2.4	D	36	Yes	<30	Nil
Wambo Road	17/04/2023 21:44	1.9	E	38	Yes	31	Nil

Notes:

1. Noise criteria apply during all meteorological conditions except the following: during periods of rain or hail; average wind speed at microphone height exceeds 5 m/s; wind speeds greater than 3 m/s measured at 10 metres above ground level; stability category F temperature inversion conditions and wind speeds greater than 2m/s at 10m above ground level; or stability category G temperature inversion conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values;

2. Site-only L_{Aeq,15minute} attributed to MTO, including modifying factors if applicable;

3. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of relevant criterion; and

4. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified in consent, therefore criterion was not applicable.

Table 6: L_{A1,1Minute} Mount Thorley - Impact Assessment Criteria – April 2023

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s)	Stability Class	Criterion dB	Criterion Applies? ¹	MTO L _{A1,1min} dB ^{2,3}	Exceedance ^{3,4}
Bulga RFS	17/04/2023 22:48	2.8	D	47	Yes	34	Nil
Bulga Village	17/04/2023 22:05	2.2	D	48	Yes	37	Nil
Gouldsville	17/04/2023 21:24	1.7	E	45	Yes	IA	Nil
Inlet Rd	17/04/2023 21:21	1.3	E	47	Yes	36	Nil
Inlet Rd West	17/04/2023 21:00	1.0	E	45	Yes	37	Nil
Long Point	17/04/2023 21:00	1.0	E	45	Yes	IA	Nil
South Bulga	17/04/2023 23:36	2.4	D	46	Yes	<30	Nil
Wambo Road	17/04/2023 21:44	1.9	E	48	Yes	35	Nil

Notes:

1. Noise criteria apply during all meteorological conditions except the following: during periods of rain or hail; average wind speed at microphone height exceeds 5 m/s; wind speeds greater than 3 m/s measured at 10 metres above ground level; stability category F temperature inversion conditions and wind speeds greater than 2m/s at 10m above ground level; or stability category G temperature inversion conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values;

2. Site-only L_{A1,1minute} attributed to MTO;

3. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of relevant criterion; and

4. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside conditions specified in consent, therefore criterion was not applicable.

5.1.3 NPfl Low Frequency Assessment

In accordance with the requirements of the EPA’s Noise Policy for Industry (NPfl), the applicability of the low frequency modification factor corrections has been assessed. There were no noise measurements taken during the reporting period which required the penalty to be applied. The WML assessment for low frequency noise is shown in **Table 7** and the MTO assessment for low frequency noise is shown in **Table 8**.

Table 7: Warkworth Low Frequency Noise Assessment – April 2023

Location	Date and Time	Measured WML LAeq dB	Criterion Applies?	Intermittency Modifying Factor?	Tonality Modifying Factor?	Frequency of Tonality ¹	Low-frequency Modifying Factor?	Maximum Exceedance of Reference Spectrum ^{1,2}	Penalty dB ²
Bulga RFS	17/04/2023 22:48	31	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil
Bulga Village	17/04/2023 22:05	33	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil
Gouldsville	17/04/2023 21:24	<25	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil
Inlet Rd	17/04/2023 21:21	33	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil
Inlet Rd West	17/04/2023 21:00	33	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil
Long Point	17/04/2023 21:00	IA	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil
South Bulga	17/04/2023 23:36	IA	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil
Wambo Road	17/04/2023 21:44	34	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil

Notes:

1. NA denotes ‘not applicable’; and

2. Bold results indicate that application of NPfl modifying factor/s is required.

Table 8: Mount Thorley Operations Low Frequency Noise Assessment – April 2023

Location	Date and Time	Measured WML LAeq dB	Criterion Applies?	Intermittency Modifying Factor?	Tonality Modifying Factor?	Frequency of Tonality ¹	Low-frequency Modifying Factor?	Maximum Exceedance of Reference Spectrum ^{1,2}	Penalty dB ²
Bulga RFS	17/04/2023 22:48	31	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil
Bulga Village	17/04/2023 22:05	33	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil
Gouldsville	17/04/2023 21:24	IA	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil
Inlet Rd	17/04/2023 21:21	33	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil
Inlet Rd West	17/04/2023 21:00	33	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil
Long Point	17/04/2023 21:00	IA	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil
South Bulga	17/04/2023 23:36	<30	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil
Wambo Road	17/04/2023 21:44	31	Yes	No	No	NA	No	NA	Nil

Notes:

1. NA denotes 'not applicable'; and

2. Bold results indicate that application of NPfI modifying factor/s is required.




Figure 16: Noise Monitoring Location Plan

5.2 Noise Management Measures

A program of targeted supplementary attended noise monitoring is in place at MTW, supported by the real-time directional monitoring network and ensuring the highest level of noise management is maintained. The supplementary program is undertaken by MTW personnel and involves:

- Routine inspections from both inside and outside the mine boundary;
- Routine and as-required handheld noise assessments (undertaken in response to noise alarm and/or community complaint), comparing measured levels against consent noise limits; and
- Validation monitoring following operational modifications to assess the adequacy of the modifications.

Where a noise assessment identifies noise emissions which are exceeding the relevant noise limit(s) for any particular residence, modifications will be made to ensure that the noise event is resolved within 75 minutes of identification. The actions taken are commensurate with the nature and severity of the noise event, but can include:

- Changing the haul route to a less noise sensitive haul;
- Changing dump locations (in-pit or less exposed dump option);
- Reducing equipment numbers;
- Shut down of task; or
- Site shut down.

A summary of these assessments undertaken during April are provided in **Table 9**.

Table 9: Supplementary Attended Noise Monitoring Data – April 2023

No. of assessments	No. of assessments > trigger	No. of nights where assessments > trigger	% greater than trigger
563	11	5	1.954

6.0 OPERATIONAL DOWNTIME

During April, a total of 569 hours of equipment downtime was logged in response to environmental events such as dust, noise and adverse meteorological conditions. Operational downtime by equipment type is shown in **Figure 17**.




Figure 17: Operational Downtime by Equipment Type – April 2023

7.0 REHABILITATION

During April 2023, 2.27 Ha of land was released, 9.72 Ha was bulk shaped and 3.19 Ha was topsoiled.




Figure 18: Rehabilitation YTD – April 2023

8.0 ENVIRONMENTAL INCIDENTS

There were no environmental incidents recorded during the reporting period.

9.0 COMPLAINTS

4 complaints were received during the reporting period. Details of these complaints are shown in **Table 10** below.

Table 10: Complaints Summary YTD

	Noise	Dust	Blast	Lighting	Other	Total
January	1	2	2	3	0	8
February	4	5	4	0	0	13
March	4	6	0	4	0	14
April	2	2	0	0	0	4
May						
June						
July						
August						
September						
October						
November						
December						
Total	11	15	6	7	0	39

Appendix A: Meteorological Data

Table 11: Meteorological Data – Charlton Ridge Meteorological Station – April 2023

Date	Air Temperature		Relative Humidity		Wind Direction	Wind Speed	Rainfall
	Maximum (°C)	Minimum (°C)	Maximum (%)	Minimum (%)	Average (°)	Average (m/sec)	total (mm)
1/04/2023	26	10	82	33	260	2.5	0.0
2/04/2023	25	12	88	36	204	2.4	0.0
3/04/2023	25	13	92	44	176	2.9	0.0
4/04/2023	25	14	98	50	152	2.9	0.6
5/04/2023	25	15	96	47	160	2.5	0.6
6/04/2023	26	14	97	43	172	2.8	0.0
7/04/2023	25	13	98	52	150	2.3	0.2
8/04/2023	24	13	98	57	207	2.0	5.0
9/04/2023	24	14	92	39	298	4.2	0.0
10/04/2023	23	13	70	30	286	4.2	0.0
11/04/2023	21	9	74	32	269	2.9	0.0
12/04/2023	23	6	86	32	229	2.0	0.0
13/04/2023	21	10	99	43	260	2.3	6.0
14/04/2023	23	12	99	52	217	2.2	2.4
15/04/2023	24	13	97	52	179	3.1	0.2
16/04/2023	27	11	99	28	199	1.8	0.0
17/04/2023	27	14	86	39	208	2.7	0.0
18/04/2023	24	9	89	45	181	2.1	0.0
19/04/2023	24	11	96	43	150	2.6	0.0
20/04/2023	26	11	97	40	179	1.6	0.0
21/04/2023	18	14	99	83	173	3.4	8.8
22/04/2023	23	13	98	51	164	3.5	0.2
23/04/2023	20	13	98	70	183	3.1	0.8
24/04/2023	24	13	99	60	169	2.8	0.8
25/04/2023	25	14	99	46	150	2.6	0.4
26/04/2023	25	14	97	43	150	2.1	0.0
27/04/2023	25	10	98	45	141	2.4	0.0
28/04/2023	25	12	97	40	160	1.5	0.0
29/04/2023	28	10	99	32	205	1.7	0.0
30/04/2023	23	12	99	51	223	1.7	14.0